Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### FINAL ORDER

#### Balwant Singh,

S/o Sh. Mahinder Singh, Ward No. 10, Village Jhill, Tehsil & District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, District Patiala. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Joint Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, District Patiala.

# Appeal Case No. 75 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 19.3.21)

1. The RTI application is dated **28.9.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding a complaint dated 12.12.2019 against Amrinder Singh and Gurtej Singh for building an unauthorized colony etc.**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **10.11.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **19.3.2021**.

2. Both parties are absent without intimation. At the last hearing on 19.3.2021, the appellant was requested to submit copies of the complaint/complaints cited in his RTI application. This was to facilitate the respondent PIO in determining the status of the said complaint/complaints. The appellant has not provided any copies copy either to the respondent or to this Commission.

3. Under the circumstances, this Commission is also of the view that this appeal case can not be pursued in further and is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### FINAL ORDER

#### Balwant Singh,

S/o Sh. Mahinder Singh, Ward No. 10, Village Jhill, Tehsil & District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, District Patiala. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Joint Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, District Patiala.

# Appeal Case No. 88 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 19.3.21)

1. The RTI application is dated **3.11.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>by</u> way of physical inspection of houses constructed under the PMAY Scheme in Ward No. <u>10 of Village Jhill, Tehsil and District Patiala</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.11.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **19.3.2021**.

2. Both parties are absent. The respondent PIO, as directed by this Commission, has sent a copy of letter no. 414 dated: 18.4.2021, which was sent by way of a reply to the appellant. The appellant has sought information by way of a physical inspection of houses constructed under the PMAY Scheme in Ward No. 10 Village Jhill, District Patiala.

3. This Commission is of the view that the respondent PIO has correctly denied physical inspection of private houses, which would amount to an invasion of privacy of several individuals. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith <u>CLOSED</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER

### Karun Vashisht,

S/o Sh. Om Chand Vashisht, # 95-A, Dashmesh Nagar, District Patiala.

Versus

# Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Chief Engineer, Head Quarter (South), Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, District Patiala.

# Complaint Case No. 824 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Complainant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 14.1.21)

1. The complainant, **Karun Vashisht**, filed this RTI application dated **31.8.2020** and sought information <u>regarding a motor connection No. AP-26-3411 dated 25.12.12</u>, from the PIO o/o **Deputy Chief Engineer, PSPCL**, **Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **2.9.2020**. This complaint case was last heard on **14.1.2021** 

2. Both parties are absent without intimation. At the last hearing on 14.1.2021, the respondent PIO was directed to send a copy of the reply/information sent to the complainant on 26.10.2020, to this Commission. The complainant was also requested to list any deficiency to the respondent as well as to this Commission. Both parties have failed to comply. They are also absent at this hearing.

3. Under the circumstances, particularly in view of the complainant's absence at two successive hearings of this Complaint Case as well as the fact that he has not listed any deficiency in the information supplied to him, this Commission is constrained to conclude that the complainant is satisfied with the information.

4. There is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### FINAL ORDER

#### Mohinder Singh Ghai,

S/o Late Sh. Kulwant Singh, # B-6/23, Gajjan Singh Colony, 22 No. Fatak, District Patiala-147001

Versus

# **Public Information Officer,**

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala-147001 **First Appellant Authority,** o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, Near Circuit House, District Patiala-147001

### Appeal Case No. 2222 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 14.1.21)

1. The RTI application is dated **23.12.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Tarvinder Singh Lamba's complaint against him (appellant) alongwith documents and notings, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.2.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **19.8.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **14.1.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, has, as directed by this Commission, sent a copy of the information, to this Commission. He also states that the information could not be sent to the appellant as he was not available at the address given on his RTI application.

3. Under the circumstances, this Commission advises the appellant to collect the information which is available on this Commission's file on any working day after seeking an appointment on telephone.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab. Chandigarh 23.7.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### FINAL ORDER

Hardial Singh,

S/o Sher Singh, VPO Dhablan, Tehsil & District Patiala.

Versus

# Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala. **First Appellant Authority,** o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 2517 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER: (To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **14.1.21**)

1. The RTI application is dated **6.11.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding application UID No. 1570670 dated 31.5.2019; DCR No. 285/MFR dated 30.5.2019, with copies of the enquiry report, action report etc.,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **15.1.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **7.9.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **14.1.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. After the last hearing on 14.1.2021, the appellant had listed number of deficiencies in the information supplied to him. As per the respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, another 35 pages by way of information in response to the deficiencies listed by appellant have been sent to him vide Letter No. 500 dated 10.3.2021. The said information was supplied through the Police Chowki Dhakala. There has since been no communication from the appellant and nor he is present at this hearing.

3. Under the circumstances, this Commission is constrained to conclude that the appellant is satisfied with the information and does not wish to pursue this case further. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith <u>CLOSED</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### FINAL ORDER

Manjit Singh,

S/o Sohan Singh, # 388/3, Dhandholian Baherh Road, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala. First Appellant Authority, o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh.

### Appeal Case No. 3006 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER: (To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **14.1.21**)

1. The RTI application is dated **21.10.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding Belt No. 2337/Patiala**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.12.2019** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.10.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **14.1.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. At the last hearing on 14.1.2021, the respondent PIO was directed to give written reply with regard to the points 4 and 5 of this RTI application. He has complied with the directions and submitted a reply vide letter no. 503 dated: 10.3.2021.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER

Rajinder Singh Virk,

S/o Gian Singh, H.No.20,Vill.Rasulpur Sainda, Tehsil & Distt.Patiala.

Versus

### **Public Information Officer,**

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Inspector General of Police Patiala Zone, Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 3201 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Rajinder Singh Virk (Appellant) 98140-82681 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833 ASI, Vichitar Singh from Police Station Anaj Mandi (for the Respondent) 97797-18099

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 14.1.21)

1. The RTI application is dated **13.1.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding FIR No. 355 dated 18.12.2017 in Police Station Anaj Mandi Patiala.** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16.3.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.10.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **14.1.2021**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Vichitar from the Police Station Anaj Mandi and ASI Hakam Singh, have, as directed by this Commission at the last hearing, provided a copy of the cancellation report pertaining to cross complaint submitted by the appellant. The appellant however, stated that the copy supplied to him is not attested. The respondent PIO is directed herewith to attest the said document or provide another copy of the document after duly attesting it.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER

Nidhan Singh,

S/o Late Dass Singh, Village Todarwal Police Station, Bhadson, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala – 147 201

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, District Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 4039 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 19.3.21)

1. The RTI application is dated **24.9.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding FIR No. 80 dated: 24.6.2020 Police Station Bhadson,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.10.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **15.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **19.3.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. At the last hearing **on 19.3.2021**, the appellant had listed some deficiencies in the information supplied to him. The said deficiencies have been addressed by the respondent PIO vide Letter No. 1348/RTI dated: 16.7.2021. There has since been no communication with the appellant who is also not present at this hearing.

3. Under the circumstances constrained to conclude that the appellant is satisfied with the information furnished to him.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.